

(b) the number of complaints Government received during 2006 in this regard; and

(c) the number of officials punished for showing negligence in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRI SURESH PACHOURI):

(a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c) The information is not centrally maintained.

### **Report on complaints received from whistle blowers**

189. SHRI MOTIUR RAHMAN: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether CVC has been asked by the Supreme Court to prepare a report on the number of complaints received by it directly or otherwise from whistle blowers, etc. and the action taken thereon;

(b) if so, whether CVC has prepared the report and submitted the same to Supreme Court giving details thereof;

(c) whether the CBI conducted verification of contractors who were engaged in NHAI work at the time of Dubey's tenure and if so, the outcome thereof; and

(d) whether fingers have been raised on the shoddy probes conducted by CBI or to hush up the matters and if so, the details of such cases?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRI SURESH PACHOURI):

(a) and (b) As per information provided by the Central Vigilance Commission (CVC), the Hon'ble Supreme Court *vide* its order dated 20.9.2006 had directed the CVC to submit a report on the progress made after the notification of the Public Interest Disclosure and Protection of Informer Resolution (commonly known as the Whistle Blower Resolution) regarding the number of complaints received and action taken. Accordingly, the CVC submitted the report to Hon'ble Supreme Court of India on 07.11.2006.

(c) Yes, Sir. As per information provided by the CBI, a case was registered on 26.9.2004 on information which became available during

the investigation of a case relating to the murder of late Shri Satyendra Dubey.

(d) During the course of investigation, certain newspaper adversely reported on suicide committed by two persons and on the non-availability of one eye-witness.

An NGO Parvatan, on the basis of newspaper reports filed a Writ Petition (Civil) No. 93 of 2004 in the Hon'ble Supreme court alleging that the events reported by the newspapers cast a shadow on CBI's investigation and sought for the appointment of Special Team investigation under the supervision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The matter is sub-judice.

### **Reservation to OBCs**

190. SHRIMATI N.P. DURGA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether, it is a fact that in spite of providing 27 per cent reservation to OBCs, there are only 3.9 per cent of OBCs in Group "A" Services, 2.3. per cent in Group "B" Services, 5.2 per cent in Group "C" and 3.3. per cent in Group "D" Services;

(b) if so, the reasons for not recruiting the mandated 27 per cent of candidates belongs to OBCs; and

(c) what efforts Government are making to achieve the target of 27 per cent reservation as envisaged by Mandal Commission in all categories of employment?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRI SURESH PACHOURI):

(a) As per information received from various Ministries/Departments, the proportion of OBCs in Group "A", Group "B", Group "C" and Group "D" posts/services as on 1.1.2004 was 3.9%, 2.3%, 5.2% and 3.3% respectively.

(b) Reservation for OBCs in services under the Government of India was introduced only in 1993.

(c) In order to ensure that vacancies reserved for OBCs are filled by OBCs, concessions like relaxation of 3 years in upper age limit are provided to OBC candidates in the case of direct recruitment. Moreover, there is a ban on dereservation of vacancies reserved for OBCs.